



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

CR

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,791	07/03/2003	Jiang Hsich	15-CT	1516
7590 Patrick W. Rashe Armstrong Teasdale LLP One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102			EXAMINER ARTMAN, THOMAS R	
			ART UNIT 2882	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/26/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/613,791	HSIEH ET AL.
	Examiner Thomas R. Artman	Art Unit 2882

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas R. Artman. (3) Michael Leinauer, Reg. No. 55,795.  
 (2) Ed Glick, SPE 2882. (4) Robert Reeser, Reg. No. 45,548.

Date of Interview: 07 May 2007.

Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference  
 c)  Personal [copy given to: 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 22.


Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed proposed amendments to claim 22 in order to remove the reference to "scout" when referring to the first and second images in order to overcome the 35 USC 251 rejection, and to include a performing step based upon the determined difference in order to overcome the 35 USC 101 rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



EDWARD J. GLICK  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required